Atmospheric deposition across the Atacama Desert, Chile: Compositions, source distributions, and interannual comparisons
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ABSTRACT

Hyper-arid areas such as the Atacama Desert accumulated significant amounts of insoluble dust and soluble salts from the atmosphere, providing minable salt deposits as well as mimicking the surface processes on Mars. The deposition rates, compositions and sources, however, were poorly constrained. Especially, the variabilities of atmospheric deposition in the Atacama Desert corresponding to a changing climate were unassessed. In this work, the atmospheric depositions collected using dust traps across a west-east elevation gradient in the Atacama (~23°S) from 1/2/2010 to 12/31/2011 were analyzed and compared to previous results in 2007–2009. The insoluble dust deposition rates in our sampling period were significantly higher than those of 2007–2009 in most dust traps, which was attributed to the changes in wind, highlighting the importance of long-term monitoring of insoluble dust fluxes. Soluble salts, instead, showed less distinct interannual variations in deposition rates, geochemical compositions or source contributions. At the coastal site (T1), soluble salts were originated from both primary sea-salt (SS) aerosols and non-sea-salt (NSS) sources such as anthropogenic emission, marine biogenic emission and biomass burning; the deposition rates of these salts largely depended on the wind speed and the amount of anthropogenic emissions. Sulfur isotopic evidence further showed that NSS sulfate at T1 was mainly originated from local SO2 emission from local power plants. The inland sites (T2-T8) displayed much lower soluble salts deposition rates, and the salts were primarily sourced from entrainment of local surface minerals, including Na(Cl, NO3), CaSO4, and nitrate formed via atmospheric oxidation of anthropogenic NOx. Sulfur isotopic compositions of sulfate deposited at T2-T8 were similar to those in local surface soil; however, three sites near the Chuquicamata mine showed slightly lower δ34S values, indicating the presence of secondary sulfate originated from mining activities. The soluble salts deposited at the Andean site (T10) were dominantly from wet deposition that incorporated local lake salts, and therefore, the deposition rates were mainly controlled by the amount of precipitation.

1. Introduction

As one of the driest places on Earth, the Atacama Desert has a hyperarid climate with mean annual precipitation (MAP) < 10 mm (Ericksen, 1981). The surface soil in the Atacama Desert is characterized by 1) very little organic matter content (Ericksen, 1981; Navarro-Gonzalez, 2003), 2) little to no microbial activity (Navarro-Gonzalez, 2003), 3) slow weathering, leaching, and water erosion rates (Ericksen, 1981; Ewing et al., 2006, 2008), and 4) a surface layer of desert pavement (Cooke and Warren, 1973; McFadden et al., 1987). In addition, high concentrations of soluble salts, such as nitrate, sulfate, chloride, and perchlorate, have been found within Atacama soils (e.g., Rech et al., 2003; Bao et al., 2004). This is similar to the occurrences of nitrate salts (Kounaves et al., 2014; Stern et al., 2015), subsurface gypsum (CaSO4·2H2O) crusts (Edwards et al., 2005; Fishbaugh et al., 2007), massive chloride deposits (Osterloo et al., 2008), and abundant perchlorate (Hecht et al., 2009) on Mars (e.g., Catling et al., 2010; Navarro-Gonzalez, 2003; Vitek et al., 2012). Given the similar climatic conditions and soil chemical composition between the Atacama and Mars, the processes that led to the formation of these two unique planetary surfaces are possibly similar. Therefore, the Atacama Desert is considered as an ideal analog for studying the pedogenesis on Mars.
The origins of the Atacama soil salts have long been debated (e.g., Darwin, 1906; Erickson, 1983 and references therein). While several studies have suggested that groundwater (~43–88 m below surface) played a significant role in the formation of some salt deposits in the Atacama Desert (Cameron and Leybourne, 2005; Pérez-Fodich et al., 2014; Álvarez et al., 2016), stable isotopic evidences have suggested that the majority of nitrate, sulfate and perchlorate ions in the Atacama topsoil were produced photochemically in the atmosphere and then dry deposited as gases or dust (Bao et al., 2004; Böhlke et al., 1997). Additionally, Wang et al. (2014) proposed a mechanism of soil accumulation via atmospheric deposition based on the meteoric 10Be record of a 225 cm soil profile from the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert, further suggesting atmospheric deposition is an important, even the dominant, process controlling soil development in the Atacama. Therefore, characterizing the rates, chemical compositions and sources of atmospheric deposition across the Atacama will help understand the soil development processes in the Atacama, with potential implications for a surface evolution mechanism on Mars.

Due to the lack of standard deposition monitoring stations, only a few studies have attempted to collect and analyze the atmospheric depositions in the Atacama. Ewing et al. (2006) first quantified the deposition rates of major ions (Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), NO\(_3^−\), Cl\(^−\) and SO\(_4^{2−}\)) at three sites along a 300 km north-south transect and observed significant spatial variations in ion depositions. However, since these sites were all located the hyperarid core of the Atacama, whether they can represent the atmospheric deposition over the entire Atacama region was unclear. To fill this knowledge gap, Wang et al. (2014) set up a series of dust traps along a west-east transect from the Pacific coast to the Andean plateau and reported the chemical, mineral, and nitrate isotopic compositions of atmospheric deposition collected during 7/10/2007–12/31/2009 to characterize the atmospheric deposition along this transect.

However, a few questions still remain after this one-time recovery of atmospheric deposition over a 2.5-year sampling period. First, it is unclear whether the atmospheric depositions in the Atacama show interannual variations, which were observed in some other arid areas such as Southern California, U.S., Nevada, U.S., and Gansu, China (e.g., Reheis, 1997; Reheis and Kihl, 1995; Ta et al., 2004). Second, it is unknown how shifted climate conditions would affect the deposition in the Atacama. Last, there is little knowledge on the impact of anthropogenic activities (mainly mining and transportation) to the atmospheric deposition in the Atacama Desert. Thus, a follow-up comparison study to Wang et al. (2014) was necessary to examine and interpret the interannual variabilities of atmospheric deposition in the Atacama.

In this study, atmospheric depositions were collected during 1/2/2010–12/31/2011 by the same nine dust traps set up by Wang et al. (2014). The deposition rates as well as the chemical and isotopic compositions were analyzed and compared with the 2007–2009 results to investigate their interannual variations. These interannual comparisons can help understand the impact of climate, weather conditions and anthropogenic activities on the atmospheric deposition in the Atacama and assess the credibility of using annual average deposition to estimate the deposition over a longer period.

2. Sampling and analytical methods

The Atacama Desert, bordering on the west and by the Pacific Ocean on the east by the Andes, is divided into three physiographic provinces (Fig. 1A, from west to east): Coastal Range consisting of Mesozoic igneous and sedimentary rocks (Clarke, 2005), which runs in a general north-south direction adjacent to the Pacific Ocean with altitude < 2000 m; the Central Valley that is filled with Oligocene to Pliocene clastic sedimentary rocks (Sernageomin, 1982; Sillitoe et al., 1968) with altitude between 900 and 2500 m; and the Andes consisting of an altiplano about 4000 m in altitude and several interwitten pre-Andean ranges and basins (e.g. the Atacama Basin, Clarke, 2005) that abut the Andean Cordillera.

A series of nine dust traps were previously set up as shown in Fig. 1 (Wang et al., 2014) along a west-east transect in the Atacama: T1 on the Costal Range, T2-T6 in the Central Valley, T7 on the pre-Andean range, T8 in the Atacama Basin and T10 on the Andes. Locations of dust traps were carefully selected to minimize the influence of anthropogenic activities, particularly open-pit mining activities that were widespread in the region. Each dust trap was made of a single-piece Bundt pan with the outer ring diameter of 25 cm and surface area of 477 cm\(^2\). One piece of 0.25-inch-galvanized mesh was fixed on top of each pan, and a layer of glass marbles were spread on the mesh to simulate the effect of surface graviels in preventing wind erosion (Reheis and Kihl, 1995). The dust traps were all mounted ~1 m above ground to avoid the contamination from surface dust. Sample collection spanned from 1/2/2010 to 12/31/2011.

The sample collection and processing procedures were identical to those used for the 2007–2009 depositions in Wang et al. (2014). At the end of the exposure period, atmospheric deposition in each trap was carefully washed multiple times with Millipore water (18.2 MΩcm) into a 1 L plastic bottle, to ensure complete transferring of both insoluble and soluble material into the bottle. The solutions were then kept frozen, shipped to Purdue University, and freeze-dried to evaporate all the water. The residual solid materials were weighed, dissolved in ~100 mL Millipore water to make sure the major inorganic salts, i.e. (Na, K)(Cl, NO\(_3^−\)), (Na, K)\(_2\)SO\(_4\), (Mg, Ca)SO\(_4^{2−}\), (Mg, Ca)(Cl, NO\(_3^−\))\(_2\), were far from being saturated. The re-washing solution was then filtered to separate soluble salts from insoluble dust. The insoluble dust was subsequently freeze-dried to ensure minimum volatilization and weighed to calculate the mass of soluble salts. Since the major inorganic salts were found undersaturated in the ~100 mL re-washing solutions, we suggest all the major ions have been completely dissolved, while some trace elements (e.g. Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn) forming low-solubility salts might not have been completely dissolved (Pan and Wang, 2015). However, the atmospheric fluxes of trace elements were generally 3–4 orders of magnitude lower than those of the major ions (Pan and Wang, 2015). Therefore, the contributions of trace elements to the total material deposition were minor, and their incomplete dissolution would not introduce significant uncertainties to the calculation of the insoluble/soluble material deposition rates here.

For cation (Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\)) analysis, 5 mL of each solution was mixed with 5 mL 10% HNO\(_3\), then analyzed by ICP-OES (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) in the Purdue Rare Isotope Measurement (PRIME) Laboratory at Purdue University. Another aliquot of each solution was used for anion (Cl\(^−\), NO\(_3^−\), SO\(_4^{2−}\)) analysis using a Dionex DX-500 Ion Chromatography (Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) in the Purdue Stable Isotope Laboratory at Purdue University. For all ions at the T1 site, we calculated the enrichment factors (EF) relative to seawater by assuming all the Na\(^+\) deposition in T1 was originated from seawater (Table 2, see Discussion):

\[
EF_X = \frac{[X]_{T1}}{[X]_{seawater}} \times \frac{[Na^+]_{T1}}{[Na^+]_{seawater}}
\]

In which ([X]\(_{T1}\)) and ([X]\(_{seawater}\)) represented the molar ratio of any ion (X) to Na\(^+\) at T1 and (X/Na\(^+\))\(_{seawater}\) was the molar ratio of ion X to Na\(^+\) in seawater (Pilson, 2012). EF values < 1 suggested biologic, chemical, or physical losses of ion X, and the loss of X was defined as X deficit: X deficit = ([Na\(^+\)]\(_{T1}\) × ([X]\(_{T1}\)) - ([Na\(^+\)]\(_{seawater}\) × ([X]\(_{seawater}\))). EF values > 1 indicated extra source(s) other than seawater for ion X, and the ion X was divided into sea-salt ion (SS-X) and non-sea-salt ion (NSS-X): SS-X = ([Na\(^+\)]\(_{T1}\) × ([X]\(_{T1}\)) - ([Na\(^+\)]\(_{seawater}\) × ([X]\(_{seawater}\))).

Sulfur isotopic analysis of soluble sulfate was performed at the Purdue Stable Isotope Laboratory. A 0.5 mL of 5% acidified BaSO\(_4\) was added into 5 mL of each solution to completely precipitate sulfate as BaSO\(_4\) that was then extracted, weighed, mixed with V\(_2\)O\(_5\) powder, and combusted at 980 °C in a Costech Elemental Analyzer. The produced SO\(_2\) was then directed to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS-Thermo Delta V Plus) equipped with a ConFlo interface for isotopic
analysis. The sulfur isotopic compositions were reported in delta notation: 
$$\delta^{34}S = \left( \frac{^{34}S/^{32}S}_{\text{Sample}} / \frac{^{34}S/^{32}S}_{\text{Reference}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \text{‰}.$$ 
In which the reference material is Vienna-Canyon Diablo Troilita (VCDT), the standard deviation (1σ) of our sulfur isotopic analysis was ± 0.2‰.

3. Results

Bulk mass (insoluble dust and soluble salts) atmospheric deposition rates varied significantly along the west-east transect, with the lowest deposition rate of 4.3 g/m²/yr at T8 and the highest of 149.2 g/m²/yr at...
T1 (Fig. 2). The spatial variations in the bulk mass deposition rates were mainly controlled by the insoluble dust deposition rates that spanned from 3.8 g/m²/yr to 149.0 g/m²/yr (Fig. 2). Dust traps in mountainous regions showed higher insoluble dust deposition rates: 18.5 g/m²/yr at T1, 80.9 g/m²/yr at T7 and 149.0 g/m²/yr at T10, while T2-T6 (Central Valley) and T8 (Atacama Basin) displayed lower insoluble dust deposition rates ranging from 3.8 g/m²/yr to 9.8 g/m²/yr. Comparing to the 2007–2009 period, the insoluble dust deposition rates in 2010–2011 increased by 11% to 2723%. The exception was T8, the insoluble dust deposition of which decreased by 47% from 7.1 g/m²/yr to 3.8 g/m²/yr (Fig. 2).

The deposition rates of soluble salts ranged from 0.2 to 6.0 g/m²/yr and displayed a general decreasing trend from T1 to T10 (Figs. 2 and 3). The coastal site (T1) had the highest soluble salts deposition rate (6.0 g/m²/yr) and the central depression (T2-T7) showed lower but consistent deposition rates ranging from 1.7 to 2.3 g/m²/yr. The lowest soluble salts deposition rates were at T8 and T10 (< 0.5 g/m²/yr). The soluble salts deposition rates also showed interannual variabilities. The 2010–2011 soluble salts deposition rates at T1 to T7 increased by 67%–176% relative to 2007–2009, while the rates in T8 and T10 decreased by 46% and 57%, respectively.

Major cations (Na⁺, Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺) and anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻) accounted for 47 ± 11% of total soluble salts in the dust traps (Table 1 and Fig. 3). Their deposition rates showed a similar spatial variation pattern as the soluble salts deposition rates. Among all the sites, T1 displayed the highest ion deposition rates, with the depositions of Na⁺, K⁺, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻ were 3 to 20 times higher than the other sites. T2-T7 sites had consistently lower ion deposition rates than T1, and the deposition rates of all ions were lowest at T8 and T10.

Compared to 2007–2009, the 2010–2011 total ion deposition (sum of Na⁺, Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Fig. 3) was 98% higher at T1, 20%–85% lower at T3, T4, T6 and T8, and not significantly different at T2, T5 and T7.

The sulfur isotopic composition of soluble sulfate (δ³⁴S_sulfate) in the nine dust traps ranged from 4.0‰ to 8.3‰ (Table 1, Fig. 5). The lowest δ³⁴S_sulfate value (4.0‰) occurred at T1 while T8 had the highest δ³⁴S_sulfate value of 8.3‰. The δ³⁴S_sulfate at T2-T4 were similar (7.1‰ to 7.6‰), and then showed a decreasing trend from 7.5‰ to 4.7‰ from T4 to T7. The Andean dust trap (T10) had the δ³⁴S_sulfate of 5.8‰.

4. Discussion

4.1. Coastal site T1

The deposition rate of insoluble dust at T1 had significant interannual variations, which could be attributed to variations in wind speed, precipitation, and/or anthropogenic activities. T1 was located on the west side of a hill slope, ~6 km away from the ocean. During 2010–2011, the deposition rate of insoluble dust (18.5 g/m²/yr) was 50% higher relative to that of 2007–2009 (12.3 g/m²/yr, Figs. 2 and 3). The insoluble dust at T1 had been hypothesized to be primarily from 1) entrainment of down slope dust, which was mainly weathered silicates, and 2) nearby surface and subsurface soil due to nearby open-pit mining activities (Wang et al., 2014). However, no significant geomorphology alteration was observed near the city of Mejillones during 2007–2011 (Sargent et al., 2010), therefore, dust from nearby open-pit mining activities should be minimal. Fluctuations in weather conditions, such as increased wind speed, would greatly increase the insoluble dust deposition by increasing the entrainment of particles from the surface (Goudie and Middleton, 1992; Reheis and Kihl, 1995; Shao et al., 1993). The wave height data off the coast supported a higher wind speed during 2010–2011 than 2007–2009. A buoy ~1500 km off the coast of Chile in Southern Pacific Ocean (Station 32ST0, National Data Buoy Center, 2018) measured hourly wave heights during both sampling periods. The average wave heights during 2010–2011 (2.42 ± 0.65 m) were higher than those of 2007–2009 (2.21 ± 0.60 m), corresponding to a ~0.5 m/s increase in average wind speed using the statistical wave forecasting equation (Group, 1988). Besides, the changes in wind speed was likely tied to the occurrence of El Niño since El Niño was suggested to reduce the average wind speeds and precipitation in northern and central Chile (Watts et al., 2017). 2007, 2008, 2010 and 2011 were all moderate to strong La Niña years, but 2009 was a moderate El Niño year (National Weather Service, 2015). Therefore, the average wind speed during 2010–2011 could be higher than during 2007–2009, possibly resulting in a higher dust deposition rate.

The soluble salts deposition rates at T1 had increased by 159% in 2010–2011 (6.0 g/m²/yr, Fig. 2) relative to 2007–2009 (2.6 g/m²/yr), and all the inorganic ion deposition rates increased, but to different extents (Table 1). The contributions of local soil to the soluble salt...
depositions were estimated using the ion concentrations in the nearby Morro Mejillones surface soil (Wang et al., 2014). For most ions, < 4% of ion deposition could be attributed to the local soil deposition, and ~10% K+ was originated from local soil. Therefore, the 159% increase of Na+ concentrations, we suggest the SSA concentration had increased by 2010–2011. This resulted in a 5% decrease, also cannot explain the observed 75% increase in SSA deposition during 2010–2011. Using an estimated fog deposition of 0.1 mm per event (Merriam, 1973), and measured fog water Na+ concentration of 5 ppm (Schemenauer et al., 2017) since most SSA masses are in the large particle fraction (Liang et al., 2016; McDonald et al., 1982; O’dowd et al., 2007; Zakey et al., 2008). In the open ocean, SSA concentration is positively correlated to the wind speed. For example, Dror et al. (2018) observed a linear relationship between annual average wind speed and SSA concentration at South Pacific Ocean, showing a 0.4 m/s increase in wind speed would increase SSA concentration by ~10%. Using the wave height data (discussed above), which corresponding to an average wind speed increase of ~0.5 m/s in the 2010–2011 relative to 2007–2009, we suggested that the average SSA concentration in the open ocean during 2010–2011 should be 10% - 20% higher than during 2007–2009. While significant, this predicted increase was still significantly lower than our observed 75% increase at T1.

The higher SSA deposition at T1 may be due to an increased SSA dry deposition flux ($\nu_d C_a$). The dry deposition velocity ($\nu_d$) of SSA deposition was often treated as a constant (Seinfeld et al., 1998; Tedeschi et al., 2017) since most SSA masses are in the large particle fraction (Liang et al., 2016; McDonald et al., 1982). Therefore, the changes in SSA should be mainly due to changes in SSA concentrations ($C_a$), which were strongly affected by wind speed (Jaeglé et al., 2011; Kishcha et al., 2011; Lewis and Schwartz, 2004; Li et al., 2018; Mårtensson et al., 2003; McDonald et al., 1982; O’dowd et al., 2007; Zakey et al., 2008).
Unlike open ocean, the SSA production at coastal regions was also affected by the depth and topography of the sea floor (Lewis and Schwartz, 2004). As a result, the effect of wind speed on the concentrations of SSAs was no longer a simple linear relationship (Lewandowska and Falkowska, 2013). Exponential relationships between the wind speed and SSA concentrations had been observed along coastal areas (McDonald et al., 1982; Lewandowska and Falkowska, 2013). McDonald et al. (1982) suggested that an increase in wind speed from 3.4 m/s to 10 m/s could increase the SSA concentration by 6.7 times along the coast. Using the data in McDonald et al. (1982) and assuming SSA concentration increases exponentially with increasing wind speed, we estimated that 0.5 m/s increase in coastal wind speed could increase the SSA concentration by 15.2%. Lewandowska and Falkowska (2013) also noted an exponential increase of SSA concentration (i.e., Na+ concentration) with increased wind speed (v) along coastal zones in southern Baltic Sea: [Na+] = 0.3 e^{0.15v} when v > 7 m/s and [Na+] = 4.3 e^{0.3v} when v < 7 m/s and v > 5 m/s. Using these equations, we calculated that an increase in wind speed of 0.5 m/s would result in 16–32% increase of SSA flux. The combination of above factors (15% increase of SSA from wet deposition, 10%–20% increase of SSA produced in the open ocean and 16–32% increase of SSA produced on the coast) still cannot fully account for the observed 75% increase in our sampling period, indicating other factors, i.e., strong wind events from the ocean, were important but unaccounted. Future study should use more sophisticated models to better quantify the emission of SSAs in the coastal areas (e.g., Callaghan, 2013; Demoison et al., 2013) but it is beyond the scope of our work.

Non-sea-salt (NSS) soluble salts also contributed to the deposition of some inorganic ions at T1. The EFs of SO$_4^{2-}$, K$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ were higher than 1, indicating extra sources of these ions other than SSAs at T1 (Table 2 and Fig. 4). Additionally, since SSAs contain little NO$_3^-$ (Rees et al., 1978), the observed NO$_3^-$ at T1 was also originated from NSS sources. While NSS-SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ were mainly produced by atmospheric oxidation of NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ gases (see detailed discussions below), NSS-K$^+$ and NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ were likely directly emitted during several processes, including biomass burning, anthropogenic emissions and marine biogenic activities.

The NSS-K$^+$ deposition rate was calculated (NSS-K$^+$ = [K$^+$]$_{T1}$ − [Na$^+$]$_{T1}$ × (K$^+$/Na$^+$)$_{seawater}$, where (K$^+$/Na$^+$)$_{seawater}$ = 0.0218, Rees et al., 1978) to be 0.15 mmol/m$^2$/yr during 2010–2011, decreased by ~63% compared to during 2007–2009 (0.41 mmol/m$^2$/yr). The origins of NSS-K$^+$ at T1 were also originated from NSS burning, the maximum contribution of biomass burning NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ was calculated to be 0.044 mmol/m$^2$/yr. This small contribution was corresponding to 1.2% of total NSS-Ca$^{2+}$, suggesting biomass burning was not an important NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ source (Fig. 4). Also, the NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ was not likely derived from the entrainment of gypsum minerals because the nearest gypsum-rich salt playas existed > 80 km away from T1 and on the east side of the Costal Range (Rech et al., 2003; Stoertz and Ericksen, 2009; Wang et al., 2014) from which the gypsum transportation should be substantially blocked. Therefore, we suggest marine CaCO$_3$ input from the microorganisms with calcified shells on the surface layer of the ocean might be the major source of NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ (Fitzgerald, 1991; Hardy, 1982; Maclntyre, 1974; Wang et al., 2014). Although CaCO$_3$ aerosols were less soluble in water, they could form Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ or CaSO$_4$ with soluble Ca$^{2+}$ ions via acid displacement reactions in the atmosphere (see discussion below). Additionally, since marine biogenic CaCO$_3$ particles would be entrained into the atmosphere similar to SSAs, their variation in deposition rates should also be similar: the observed 58% increase in NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ was in general agreement with the 75% increase in SSAs, again supporting our hypothesis that NSS-Ca$^{2+}$ was probably marine biogenically originated.

The Mg$^{2+}$ deposition rate was 3.8 mmol/m$^2$/yr during 2010–2011, slightly depleted relative to seawater (EF$_{Mg}$ = 0.8), and the Mg$^{2+}$ deficit (0.9 mmol/m$^2$/yr) decreased by 41% compared to during 2007–2009 (1.5 mmol/m$^2$/yr, EF$_{Mg}$ = 0.5, Fig. 4). Biomass burning could be a potential NSS-Mg$^{2+}$ source and was calculated (similar to biomass burning Ca$^{2+}$) to be ~0.02 mmol/m$^2$/yr. However, a depletion of Mg$^{2+}$ relative to SSAs was observed, which was also previously observed during 2007–2009 and attributed to the formation of MgCO$_3$ and Mg(OH)$_2$ inside the dust collection pan during fog events (Wang et al., 2014). Alternatively, the formation of Mg complexes with lipids, fatty acids and saccharides during the formation of SSAs (Jayaratne et al., 2016) might also resulted in the observed Mg$^{2+}$ depletion. These
Mg complexes were less soluble (or insoluble) due to their high molecular weight, thus some Mg might not be dissolved and were removed during the filtration, resulting in a lower dissolved Mg concentration relative to seawater.

The Cl⁻ deposition rate during 2010–2011 also increased relative to 2007–2009 because of a higher SSA flux, but Cl⁻ was very depleted relative to seawater (EFCl = 0.76, Fig. 4). This chloride deficit was commonly observed in marine aerosols (Kerminen et al., 1998; Laskin et al., 2012; McNees et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2014) and was due to the loss of Cl⁻ (and CO₃²⁻) in aerosols via acid displacement reactions (Ayers et al., 1999; Metzger et al., 2006; Newberg et al., 2005):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HNO}_3(g) + \text{NaCl}(p) &\rightarrow \text{NaNO}_3(p) + \text{HCl}(g) \quad (R1) \\
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(g) + 2\text{NaCl}(p) &\rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4(p) + 2\text{HCl}(g) \quad (R2) \\
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(g) + \text{CaCO}_3(p) &\rightarrow \text{Ca(NO}_3)_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2(g) \quad (R3) \\
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(g) + \text{CaCO}_3(p) &\rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4(p) + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2(g) \quad (R4)
\end{align*}
\]

Assuming SSA was the sole source of Cl⁻ at T1, the Cl⁻ deficit was calculated to be 11.2 mmol/m²/yr, significantly less than the 17.9 mmol/m²/yr deficit during 2007–2009. This may be because CO₃²⁻ is kinetically and thermodynamically favorable to be displaced by HNO₃ or H₂SO₄ relative to Cl⁻ (Clarke and Karaní, 1992). Assuming that CO₃²⁻ is present as CaCO₃ then the amount of CO₃²⁻ displaced should be equal to the amount of NSS-Ca²⁺, i.e. 5.6 mmol/m²/yr. The total negative charge deficit from Cl⁻ (11.2 mmol/m²/yr) and CO₃²⁻ (5.6 mmol/m²/yr) in milliequivalents (meq) was 11.2 + 5.6 = 2.24 meq/m²/yr. This is close to the total amount of NO₃⁻ (5.6 meq/m²/yr) and NSS-SO₄²⁻ (7.82 = 15.6 meq/m²/yr) measured at T1.

The deposition of NO₃⁻ at T1 must be largely originated from anthropogenic N emissions and any interannual variation must be due to a change in N emissions (Alexander et al., 2005; Fitzgerald, 1991). The deposition rate of NO₃⁻ at T1 during 2010–2011 was 5.6 mmol/m²/yr, a ~61% increase compared to during 2007–2009 (Wang et al., 2014), which should be attributed to an increased local NO x emission

\[\begin{align*}
f_{\text{NSS}} &= f_{\text{SS}} \delta^{34}\text{SS} = \delta^{34}\text{NSS} + \delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{T1}} \quad \text{Eq. 1} \\
f_{\text{SS}} + f_{\text{NSS}} &= 1 \quad \text{Eq. 2}
\end{align*}\]

where \(f_{\text{SS}}\) and \(f_{\text{NSS}}\) are the molar fractions of SS-SO₄²⁻ (0.24) and NSS-SO₄²⁻ (0.76), respectively; the \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) value of SS-SO₄²⁻ (\(\delta^{34}\text{SS}\)) is 21.0 ± 0.1‰ (Rees et al., 1978), and the measured \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) sulfate value at T1 (\(\delta^{34}\text{NSS}\)) is 4.0‰. This resulted in the \(\delta^{34}\text{NSS}\) of ~1.4‰. Sulfate derived from anthropogenic coal burning had \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) values ranging from ~3‰ to ~3‰ (Norman et al., 1999), while biogenic DMS-derived SO₄²⁻ had the \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) values of ~+18‰ (Sanusi et al., 2006; Patris et al., 2007). The calculated \(\delta^{34}\text{SS}\) of ~1.4‰ suggested that regional anthropogenic SO₂ emissions (mostly coal burning at Edelnor Power Plant located ~10 km from T1) should be the dominant NSS-SO₄²⁻ source at T1: the contribution of anthropogenic emission was at least 92% (~7.3 mmol/m²/yr) using the lower limit of anthropogenic \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) value (~3‰) and could be as high as 100% (~7.9 mmol/m²/yr). Anthropogenic sulfate deposition rate at T1 were previously estimated by Wang et al. (2014) using the inventory calculations, which suggested a range of 3.1 mmol/m²/yr to 5.8 mmol/m²/yr, lower than our estimation. This discrepancy could be attributed to two possibilities. The first possibility was that the anthropogenic emissions during our sampling period had increased since the 2007–2009 period, possibly due to significantly higher SO₂ emissions at the Edelnor power plant. However, the energy production rate of the Edelnor power plant had decreased by 12% from 2007 to 2009 to 2010–2011 (Global Energy Observatory, 2011), and the SO₂ emission was unlikely to increase considering the anthropogenic S emission was usually proportional to the energy production in power plants. Alternatively, we suggest the flux calculation using emission inventory may underestimate the NSS-SO₄²⁻ deposition. Wang et al. (2014) calculated the SO₂ flux at T1 by assuming the emitted SO₂ was evenly distributed in a circle area with radius of 180–216 km, which was likely to underestimate the SO₂ deposition rate at T1 since T1 was only 10 km from the power plant. The \(\delta^{34}\text{SS}\) at T1 was significantly lower than the \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) of sulfate extracted from surface soil samples (Fig. 5) along a 50 km long west-east transect starting near Antofagasta by Rech et al. (2003). Sulfate in soils located ~30 km to the south of T1 site had \(\delta^{34}\text{Ssulfate}\) values of +15‰ to +18‰, which were attributed to SS-SO₄²⁻ being the main source of soil sulfate near the coast. Our data suggested that anthropogenic sulfate emissions during the sampling period. Therefore, NSS-SO₄²⁻ were mainly sourced from oxidation of SO₂, which was either directly emitted from anthropogenic activities, or formed from oxidation of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) produced during marine biological processes (Li et al., 2018).
depositions have recently dwarfed natural SO$_2$$^{2-}$ deposition along the coast and is a further evidence of the Anthropocene (Capaldo et al., 1999; Crutzen, 2002; Li et al., 2018; Wadleigh, 2004).

4.2. Inland sites T2–T8

The 2010–2011 deposition data confirmed that the atmospheric depositions at T2 to T8 sites were likely controlled by local entrainment of insoluble dust and soluble salts as suggested by (Wang et al., 2014). Oceanic inputs at our inland sites were small because of fast settlement of large particles and efficient blocking by the Coastal Range (Wang et al., 2014; Rech et al., 2003), evidenced by the fact that the deposition rate of Na$^+$ at T2 was 90% lower than T1. Similarly, the material from the Andes was also unlikely to be transported to the inland sites for several reasons. First, the deposition rates of salts at the Andean site (T10, see discussion in Section 4.3) were much lower than the inland site traps, indicating very limited input from the Andean to the Atacama. Secondly, due to the daytime upslope air flow caused by the fast heating of the Andean west slopes, namely the “Andean pump effect” (Rutllant et al., 2013), the seaward air mass movement should be low and not conducive to the inland transportation of Andean dust. Instead, the depositions at these inland sites were more likely derived from local entrainments of surface soil and anthropogenic emissions.

Insoluble dust deposition at the inland sites showed significant variations between the two sampling periods (50%–100% increase at T2–T6 sites, 46% decrease at T8 site, and 27 times increase at T7), which was caused by a combination of increased wind speed and anthropogenic activities. Significant spatial variations among different sites (3.8–80.9 g/m$^2$/yr) were again observed. Since all the traps were set in the open field with small geomorphological variations among the locations of traps (Wang et al., 2014), these spatial variations were unlikely caused by biased sampling but reflected the average atmospheric depositions of the region. However, all the sites except for T8 (discussed below) displayed significant increases in insoluble dust deposition rates during 2010–2011, which can be attributed to a combination of higher average wind speed (Watts et al., 2017) and enhanced anthropogenic activities. The archived wind speed data at Calama site (Fig. 1, NOAA Climate Data Online Database, 2018) showed that the average wind speed during 2010–2011 (14.9 ± 2.0 m/s, n = 630) was statistically significantly higher (p < 0.05) than those of 2007–2009 (14.6 ± 2.0 m/s, n = 811). This increased wind speed can at least partially explain the general increasing trend in insoluble dust deposition at T2–T6, similar to the increased insoluble dust deposition at the coastal site (T1). Similar interannual variations have also been observed at several desert sites in California (Reheis, 1997; Reheis and Kihl, 1995), probably owing to the susceptibility of surface soil to local entrainment in arid environments. Meanwhile, the huge increase in insoluble dust deposition at T7 (27 times increase) was possibly caused by nearby anthropogenic activities. The satellite images near T7 (Fig. 6A, B) suggested that a major new mine located ~60 km SW from T7 came into operation between 2007 and 2011. Meanwhile, the size of another open-pit gravel mine located 10 km from T7 expanded significantly from 2007 to 2011 (Fig. 6C, D). These mining activities could significantly increase local soil entrainment and insoluble dust deposition rate at T7.

The soluble salts at T2–T8 were mainly in the forms of Na(Cl, NO$_3$) and CaSO$_4$ with the molar ratios of both Na$^+$/Cl$^-$ and Ca$^{2+}$/SO$_4^{2-}$ close to 1 (except for T8, see discussion below). The Ca$^{2+}$/SO$_4^{2-}$ molar ratios in these traps of ~1 (i.e. 0.87 to 1.10) suggested that CaSO$_4$ was mostly sourced from the widespread gypsum minerals in local surface soil (Erickson, 1981; Rech et al., 2003). Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ were likely originated from chloride deposits in the nearby salt playas. For example, the Salar de Pampa Blanca located only 7.5 km from T5 (Stoertz and Erickson, 2009) likely contributed to the highest deposition rates of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ at T5 among the seven inland sites. The NO$_3$ deposition at T2–T7 (1.4 to 3.6 mmol/m$^2$/yr) can originate from both anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions and local soil entrainment (Michalski et al., 2003). The Cl$^-$/Na$^+$ ratio at T2–T7 averaged at 0.42 ± 0.15, close to the average Cl$^-$/Na$^+$ ratio (0.43) in the Atacama soil (Grossling and Erickson, 1971; Michalski et al., 2004), supporting that most Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ should be originated from local soil and salt playas. In 2010–2011, the deposition rates of CaSO$_4$ at T2 and T6 slightly decreased by 5.7%–9.4% while other traps showed increases of 15.4%–34.7%; the Na$^+$ deposition rate at T2 increased by 2.7% and other traps showed decreased depositions by 17.8%–51.6%. This overall increased CaSO$_4$ deposition and decreased Na(Cl,NO$_3$) deposition can also be explained by the increased wind during 2010–2011. Most Atacama soil had a well-developed gypsum crust on the surface, while the nitrate and chloride were usually observed beneath the surface layer (Wang et al., 2014). As a result, stronger wind would result in more entrainment from the surface layer and less entrainment from the deeper soil layers. However, these ratios were significantly higher than the Cl$^-$/Na$^+$ ratios observed in 2007–2009 (0.25 ± 0.07), where (Wang et al., 2014) suggested that significant acidic displacement reaction had occurred. This higher ratio, along with lower NO$_3^-$ deposition rate in 2010–2011 (38% lower than 2007–2009), suggested weaker acidic displacement during our sampling period. This may at least partially be attributed to a drier climate during 2010–2011 since the acidic displacement reaction will be greatly inhibited at a lower relative humidity (RH) (Laskin et al., 2012): at the Calama site (near T6 & T7): the average RH during 2007–2009 was 33.5% while the average RH during 2010–2011 was 27.6%.

The $^{34}$S$_{sulfate}$ at T2–T4 ranged from 7.1‰ to 7.6‰, similar to those of gypsum minerals from nearby surface soil observed in Rech et al. (2003, Fig. 5), corroborating the major source of sulfate in these inland dust traps was local soil entrainment. A decrease in $^{34}$S$_{sulfate}$ from 7.1‰ to 4.7‰ was observed at T5–T7, possibly due to the mixing of gypsum mineral and secondary sulfate oxidized from SO$_2$ emitted from Chuquicamata smelter near T7, where the $^{34}$S% of sulfide minerals at this mine sites ranged from −4.7‰ to +4.0‰ (U.S. Geological Survey, 2005). If we assume the $^{34}$S value of sulfate in local soil was 7.4‰ (average value of T2–T4), and the secondary sulfate from Chuquicamata smelters had a $^{34}$S value of −0.4‰, the contribution of sulfate from anthropogenic SO$_2$ at T5, T6, T7 would be 17%, 23% and 35%, respectively.

The decrease in both insoluble dust and soluble salts depositions at T8 during 2010–2011 may be attributed to a combination of lower atmospheric deposition and the loss of deposition sample during the sampling period. The insoluble dust flux at T8 had decreased by 47% in 2010–2011 while the soluble salts deposition had a much higher decrease of 80%, from 0.92 g/m$^2$/yr (highest among T2–T8) during 2007–2009 to 0.18 g/m$^2$/yr (lowest among T2–T8). We suggest these low values should at least partially be attributed to the loss of some insoluble dust and soluble salts by leaching during the sampling period. Two facts could support this hypothesis. First, Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, and NO$_2^-$ depositions had decreased by ~92–95% while Ca$^{2+}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ decreased by only 67% and 74% (Fig. 3), and this discrepancy was likely resulted from leaching since Na(Cl, NO$_3$) was more soluble and subject to leaching losses than CaSO$_4$. Second, the $^{34}$S$_{sulfate}$ at T8 showed the highest value of 8.3‰ among the inland sites (Fig. 5), significantly higher than at T7 (4.7‰) and adjacent surface soil (5.4‰, Rech et al., 2003). This is likely caused by the enrichment in $^{34}$S$_{sulfate}$ during dissolution of gypsum minerals, with the equilibrium fractionation factor between solid and dissolved CaSO$_4$ of ~2‰ at 273 K (Van Driessche et al., 2016).

4.3. Andean site T10

The insoluble dust deposition rate (149.0 g/m$^2$/yr) at T10 during 2010–2011 was the highest among all sites and increased by 3.6 times compared to that of 2007–2009 (Fig. 2), probably due to the interannual variations in precipitation. T10 was located at a valley on the
Andean altiplano receiving significant amount of precipitation (MAP ~150 mm). The insoluble dust was likely from local soil entrainment by winds; hence its deposition rate would be strongly affected by both wind speed and precipitation. However, there was no wind speed or precipitation observation available near T10. We used the ERA-interim reanalysis data (Dee et al., 2011) to investigate the variations in wind speed. The modelled average wind speeds were 1.59 m/s during 2007–2009 and 1.61 m/s during 2010–2011, therefore it might not be able to account for the 3.6 times increase in insoluble dust flux. The precipitation during 2010–2011 likely decreased comparing to 2007–2009, since the size of local lakes located near T10 was observed to have deceased in December of 2010 (Fig. 6E, F) compared to that in January of 2009. This observation agreed with the occurrence of El Niño in 2009: El Niño has been linked to increased precipitation in the Andean area (Bozkurt et al., 2016; Mason and Goddard, 2001; Ropelewski and Halpert, 1989; Valdés-Pineda et al., 2016), and therefore the average precipitation during 2007–2009 (2 years of La Niña and 1 year of El Niño) was likely higher than that of 2010–2011 (2 years of La Niña). The decreased precipitation at T10 could increase wind erosion and thus significantly increase the insoluble dust flux (Reheis and Kihl, 1995).

In contrast with T1–T8, the soluble salts depositions at T10 had decreased. This is because the soluble salts at T10 were mostly from wet deposition, mainly snow, as suggested by (Ginot et al., 2001; Houston, 2007; Wang et al., 2014). The dry deposition had little contribution to the total salt deposition at T10 because 1) concentrations of soluble ions in dust (local soil) near T10 were extremely low (0.0005 mmol/g Cl\(^-\), 0.0004 mmol/g SO\(_4^{2-}\) and undetectable NO\(_3^-\), Wang et al., 2014) thus the salt from dust only accounted for < 6% of total Cl\(^-\) and < 5% of total SO\(_4^{2-}\); 2) T10 was distant from both the ocean and anthropogenic activities, thus lacking SSAs or anthropogenic secondary aerosols. Meantime, wet deposition, especially snow deposition, played an important role in the salt deposition at T10 because snow could incorporate ions from a few reservoirs, such as NaCl and CaSO\(_4\) from the salt lakes (e.g., Tara Lake, Wang et al., 2014) on the Andean plateau. In 2010–2011, the deposition rates of Na\(^+\), Cl\(^-\), Ca\(^{2+}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\) decreased

---

**Fig. 6.** Google Time Lapse images for 3 locations near sampling sites: A, B: a mine site located ~60 km SW from T7; C, D: an open-pit mine located 10 km from T7; E, F: the lake next to T10.
by 34% to 57% relative to 2007–2009, which should be attributed to the decreased precipitation at T10 during 2010–2011. The δ34S_Sulfate at T10 was 5.8‰, close to the δ34S value of gypsum at Tara Lake (5.2‰, Risacher et al., 2011), again supporting that the origin of sulfate deposition was local gypsum. Precipitations could incorporate some gypsum from nearby salt lakes and deposit back to the surface (Wang et al., 2014), the δ34S value of which was also similar to the δ34S values of soil gypsum (5.2–7.5‰) in the Atacama (Rech et al., 2003), further evidencing that the surface soil gypsum in the Atacama Desert was probably originated from local salt lakes. The salt-rich soil was either formed via subsurface chemical weathering processes (Rech et al., 2003), or other deeper soil development processes, such as groundwater flow (Cameron and Leybourne, 2005). The salts were then transported either directly by wind as insoluble dust across the Atacama Desert (T2–T8) or incorporated into precipitation (at T10) and transported within the Andes, then deposited onto the surface.

The NO3− deposition rate at T10 was 0.37 mmol/m2/yr during 2010–2011, slightly lower than 0.54 mmol/m2/yr during 2007–2009, which also could be due to the decrease in precipitation. These values are close to the NO3− deposition rate at the Torres del Paine National Park in southern Chile (0.36 mmol/m2/yr, Galloway et al., 1996), which is a remote coastal site with minor anthropogenic activities, suggesting minimal anthropogenic N emission at T10 (Wang et al., 2014). In remote areas, N could generally derive from soil emissions (nitrification and denitrification), lightning, lower stratosphere mixing and long-distance transportation of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) at the top of troposphere (Crutzen, 1979; Galloway et al., 2004; Holland et al., 1999; Singh et al., 1985; Singh and Hanst, 1981). The 31% decrease of NO3− in 2010–2011 is similar to the decrease of Na+, Cl−, Ca2+, SO42− depositions, indicating that these deposition rates were likely controlled by wet deposition.

5. Conclusions

In this work we investigated the sources, compositions and inter-annual variabilities of atmospheric depositions across the Atacama Desert. The nine dust trap sites across the Atacama Desert displayed significant spatial variations in atmospheric deposition because of their different geomorphological features and the characteristics sources at each site. The atmospheric deposition at coastal site T1 mainly consisted of local soil (as insoluble dust) and sea-salt aerosols (as soluble salts). NSS-SO42− and NO3− at T1 were mainly originated from anthropogenic emissions, then entered particle phase via acidic displacement reaction. At inland sites T2–T8, the insoluble dust was from local soil entrainment and the soluble salts were likely originated from nearby salars and salt lakes. The insoluble dust deposition at the Andean site (T10) was also dominated by local soil but the soluble salts were mainly from wet depositions. The rate of atmospheric deposition (both insoluble dust and soluble salts) displays strong variations between the two sampling periods. At the coastal site T1, the deposition rates of both insoluble dust and salts during 2010–2011 had increased significantly comparing to during 2007–2009, due to higher wind speeds. Anthropogenic NO3− also had increased as a result of higher NOx emissions from a newly built nitric acid plant near T1. At the inland sites T2–T8, the increased insoluble dust and gypsum depositions resulted from a windier and drier climate in the Atacama during 2010–2011. In the meantime, a drier climate at the Andean site (T10) likely had resulted in a higher insoluble dust deposition rate and a lower soluble salts deposition rate.

Our observation confirmed that the insoluble dust at all the sampling sites was mainly originated from local surface soil and weathered material. The salt depositions were strongly impacted by the ocean input in the coastal region, but in inland areas the erosions of local salars and salt lakes were more important. Shifted climate conditions (such as wind speed and precipitations) can impact the flux of both insoluble dust and soluble salts, and the dust fluxes were more easily to be influenced than salt fluxes.

Anthropogenic activities impacted the atmospheric depositions across the Atacama in two ways. First, the open-pit mining activities greatly increased the dust flux at nearby areas, as suggested by the observed high dust flux at T7 during our sampling period. Second, anthropogenic SO2 and NOx formed sulfate and nitrate aerosols, which increased SO42− and NO3− deposited in the Atacama Desert. Our isotopic evidence suggested that the SO42− originated from smelters contributed up to 35% of total sulfate in nearby dust traps.
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